

Física II Dinámica 4 C Proyección de calificaciones 2017

Valor	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	5%	20%	20%	20%					C
Actividad	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	TE	I	II	ACUM	proy	NE	ESC	Final
AGUIÑAGA ROMERO ERICKA DE LOS A	5	4.5	3.75		4.25	4		4.25	4.5	4.5	15	1	6	56.75	57%	71%	18%	44%
ARANDA JUAREZ CRISTIAN ARTURO	4.75									3	15	2	11	35.75	36%	33%	33%	33%
ARANDA JUAREZ CRISTINA GUADALUPE	5	4.5							4	3.5	15	11	11	54	54%	46%	55%	50%
BELMONTE AGUADO SINDI MICHEL	5	4		4.25		4.5		4.75	4	4.75	17	10	15	73.25	73%	69%	63%	66%
BELMONTES RODRIGUEZ ANA LAURA	4.25	4.75	4	4.5		4	4.75	4	5	4.25	15	11	12	77.5	78%	78%	58%	68%
BERNAL MARTINEZ JOVANA DEL ROCIO	2	2	2	2	2									10	10%	14%	0%	7%
BRIONES GONZALEZ LOURDES		3	1.5	3.5	3.75	3	4.5	3.75	4.25	4.25	18	8	6	63.5	64%	71%	35%	53%
BRIONES GONZALEZ MAGDALENA	3.4	3		2.5		2.5		2.5	3.5	2.5	18	10	6	53.9	54%	54%	40%	47%
CALDERON GALLEGOS MARIAN	4	4.5	3.75	4.5	4.25	4	4.5	4.75	4.75	5	15	8	5	72	72%	84%	33%	58%
CAMACHO HUERTA SONIA MARIBEL		4	3.75		4.25	4.5	4.5	5	5	4	18	18	17	88	88%	76%	88%	82%
CANO IBARRA ANTONIO GEOVANNY	3.9	1.5	1.5	2	3	2	2.5	3			20	6	10	55.4	55%	56%	40%	48%
CANO SANCHEZ LLOVANA ARACELI	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.75	4.5	5	4.75	4.5	5	4.5	15	9	9	79.5	80%	88%	45%	66%
CARDONA CERVANTES MARIA ELENA		4.25		3							15	3	7	32.25	32%	32%	25%	28%
CARDONA ORTA PERLA YESSENIA	4.75	3.5	2.5	4	4	4.5		3.5	4.5		18	4	4	57.25	57%	70%	20%	45%
CARRIÓN RODRIGUEZ JOSE GUADALUPE	4	4	4	4	4		4	4	4	4	20	16	16	80	80%	80%	80%	80%
CERVANTES HERNANDEZ JUAN de la Cruz	3.75	4.75	4.75	4.5	4.5	4.75	5	4.75	4.75	5	15	20	16	97.5	98%	88%	90%	89%
COLLAZO SANDOVAL MA DE LOURDES	4	4	4	4.5	4.5	4	5	4	4.5	4.5	15	8	9	75	75%	83%	43%	63%
CORTES LOPEZ MAGDALENA	5	5	5	4.5	4.75	5	5	5	5	5	20	14	9	92.25	92%	99%	58%	78%
DE HARO VEGA JANNIN MORISSET	4	5		4.5	4	4.25	4	4.5	4.5				10	44.75	45%	50%	25%	37%
GODINEZ ORTEGA ROSA ISELA	3.4	5	5	5	4.75	5	5	5	5	4.75	18	8	10	83.9	84%	94%	45%	70%
GUERRERO LOZANO ANA LAURA	4.5	5	3.75	4.75	4.5	5	5	4.25	4.5	4.75	15	19	14	94	94%	87%	83%	85%
HERNANDEZ CALVILLO MAXIMINO		2.5	3	2.5							20	6	4	38	38%	40%	25%	33%
HERNANDEZ MACHUCA IGNACIO JASAEI	2	2		3		3	4.5	4.25	4			12	18	52.75	53%	33%	75%	54%
IBARRA RAMIREZ DIANA LUISA	3.5	3.5		3	3.5	3.5	4	3.5	4.25	4	15	11	9	67.75	68%	68%	50%	59%
LOPEZ MAURICIO	5	5	5	4.5	5	4.5	4.5	4.75	4.25	4.5	15	19	13	94	94%	89%	80%	84%
MALDONADO SABAS BRENDA	2.85			4.25								8		15.1	15%	10%	20%	15%
MARTINEZ JUAREZ MARIA GUADALUPE	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4.5	20	18	18	105.5	106%	99%	90%	95%
MARTINEZ MONREAL CITLALI HAIDEE		3.75				4.5	4	2	4.5		15	9	5	47.75	48%	48%	35%	42%
MEJIA BANDA FERNANDO	4.75	5	5	4.75	5	5	5	5	5	5	15	17	12	93.5	94%	92%	73%	82%
MENDEZ MELENDEZ JULIA LISSET	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.75	4.75	4.25	3.25	4.5	5	4.5	20	16	9	89.5	90%	92%	63%	77%
MENDOZA TAPIA NATALIA		3.5									15	8	8	34.5	35%	26%	40%	33%
ORTEGA PEREZ JUANA LAURA			3.75	3.5			3	4.5	4.5		18	5	11	53.25	53%	53%	40%	47%
REYES MELENDEZ MA DE LOS ANGELES		4.5	3.5	4										12	12%	17%	0%	9%
SALAZAR PEREZ BLANCA ESTHELA	5	4	4	4.25	4.25	4.5	4.25	4.5	4.5	4.75	18	10	4	76	76%	89%	35%	62%
SANDOVAL CABRERA JOSE ANTONIO							4	4.75	4.5	4.5		13	10	40.75	41%	25%	58%	41%
SEGURA PACHECO PATRICIA				3.75										3.75	4%	5%	0%	3%
VELAZQUEZ RANGEL LAURA LUCERO	3.75	3.25	2				4	2.5	4.75		18	4		42.25	42%	55%	10%	32%
YEBRA ESCALERA FRANCISCO JAVIER	4	4.75	4.75	4		3.5	5	4.75	4.5	4.5	15	20	12	86.75	87%	78%	80%	79%
promedio														61.30	61%	0%	0.46	53.78%
	74%	87%	66%	79%	55%	66%	66%	76%	76%	63%	82%	89%	87%	100%				10
																		26%

Como medida para beneficiar a la mayoría, tome en cuenta solo el puntaje acumulado, sin importar si era Escolarizado o No Escolarizado
 De esta manera logran exentar algunos que no estaban exentos y los exentos mejoran sus promedios
chequen la tabla de resultados finales